

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,591	07/16/2003	Shih-Hsien Wu	3313-1016P	7448
2292 75	90 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW	VART KOLASCH & B	NADAV, ORI		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TABLE CHOICE	O11, VII 22010 0717		2811	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	ζ.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,591	WU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ori Nadav	2811
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinded in the property of the cause the application to become ABANDONE and the of this communication, even if timely filed (august 2006). Action is non-final. The except for formal matters, property in the property of the cause of the communication.	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any essecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,14-24 and 26-36 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,14-24 and 26-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	•	- - - - - -
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Act	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application
Office Act	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20061014

Application/Control Number: 10/619,591

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claimed limitation of "a covering layer, for said inorganic substrate being embedded therein", as recited in claim 26, is unclear as to which element is embedded therein. If the covering layer is embedded therein, it is unclear how the covering layer is embedded in said inorganic substrate, since figure 1 clearly depicts the covering layer being located on the inorganic substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-11, 14-18, 20-24, 26-30 and 32-36, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger et al. (6,528,145) in view Nishide et al. (5,827,605), Zak (6,006,427) and Hashemi et al. (6,867,493).

Berger et al. in figure 3 and related text a composite laminate substrate, comprising:

at least an inorganic substrate 20 having at least a wiring 26 formed thereon; and two substrates, comprising print circuit boards (column 10, lines 32-45 and column 12, lines 44-46) integrated with the at least an inorganic substrate, having circuits for electrical connections between outer input/output ports and said wiring of said inorganic substrate through said print circuit boards (substrates).

Berger et al. do not teach the print circuit boards being organic print circuit boards and at least a passive component formed in/on the inorganic substrate, and at least one bonding layer having vias formed therein, for bonding said inorganic substrate and said print circuit board (substrate).

Nishide et al. teach in figure 1 and related text an inorganic substrate 1, 2 having at least a passive component 4, 5, 8 selected from a group consisting of capacitor, inductor and resistor, formed therein/thereon, and circuits for electrical connections between outer input/output ports and said passive component of said inorganic substrate.

Zak teaches print circuit boards being organic print circuit boards, and the advantages of using organic print circuit boards (column 2, lines 40-45).

Hashemi et al. teach in figure 8 and related text at least one bonding layer 815 having vias 853 formed therein, for bonding substrate 820 and a print circuit board 898. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use organic print circuit boards and at least a passive component formed on the inorganic substrate in Berger et al.'s device, such that two organic substrates, located on two sides of said inorganic substrate, having electrical

Art Unit: 2811

connections between outer input/output ports and said passive component of said inorganic substrate through said organic substrates, and at least one bonding layer having vias for bonding said inorganic substrate and said print circuit board (substrate), in order to reduce the cost of making the device and in order to make more compact device by forming the two organic substrates on both sides of said inorganic substrate and by forming the passive elements within the inorganic substrate, and in order to provide more solid connection between the inorganic substrate and the print circuit board, respectively.

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 27, prior art teaches the material of said inorganic substrate is selected from the group consisting of ceramic, silicon and glass.

Regarding the process limitations recited in claims 3-4, 7-8, 11, 16-17, 20-21, 24, 28-29, 32-33 and 36 ("passive component is made from the process selected from the group consisting of thick film process and thin film process", "passive component is made from a semiconductor fabrication process", "the circuit of the print circuit boards are made separately, and then stacked together to form said organic substrates," the circuit of the print circuit boards are made separately, then stack the print circuit boards together, and finally form the circuit of a surface layer with build-up process to form said organic substrate substrates", and "wherein said organic substrate is made on said inorganic substrate with build-up process", these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Regarding claims 9-10, 22-23 and 34-35, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least a passive component on said organic substrate and selected from a group consisting of capacitor, inductor and resistor in prior art's device in order to the device in an application which requires a passive element.

Regarding claim 26, since it can be understood that the covering layer is embedded in said inorganic substrate and integrated with said organic substrate (that is, sandwiched there between), then it is understood that the three elements are embedded within each other. Therefore, the top layer of Berger et al.'s substrate 22 can be considered as the bonding layer, and this layer covers the inorganic substrate (below it) and is integrated

with said organic substrate (that is sandwiched there between), and embedded in said inorganic substrate in said organic substrate, and said covering layer 22 further comprising circuits for providing electrical connections between said passive component and said organic substrate.

Claims 6,19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger et al., Nishide et al., Zak and Hashemi et al., as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Czjakowski et al. (6,613,978).

Berger et al., Nishide et al., Zak and Hashemi et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, except each of said organic substrate is composed of a plurality of print circuit boards.

Czjakowski et al. teach a plurality of print circuit boards formed on a ceramic substrate. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form each of said organic substrate of a plurality of print circuit boards, in prior art's device in order to use the device in an application which requires plurality of print circuit boards.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 14-24 and 26-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,591

Art Unit: 2811

/619,591 Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ori Nadav whose telephone number is 571-272-1660.

The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.N. 10/14/06

ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Nall